

# Exhibit F

***Henderson***

***v.***

***General Revenue Corporation, et al.***

30(b)(6)

---

**Mark Verbrugge**

July 19, 2019

***Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.***

---



**SMITH REPORTING**

**INDIANA COURT REPORTERS**

400 North High Street, Suite 200, Muncie, Indiana 47305

800.700.3566 - 765.284.7836

**[www.smithreporting.net](http://www.smithreporting.net)**

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

8

1 analyst at the USA Group?

2 A. 1995.

3 Q. All right. What's your educational  
4 background?

5 A. I have a BS in business administration from  
6 Indiana University at Kokomo.

7 Q. And when did you get that degree?

8 A. '87, 1987.

9 Q. Do you work at that building that I saw up  
10 the highway, Navient? It's a large building.

11 A. Right off of 116th and 69. If you saw that  
12 one, yes.

13 Q. All right. What did you do to prepare for  
14 today's deposition?

15 A. I met with the counsel and my  
16 internal-external counsel.

17 Q. Did you review any documents?

18 A. We reviewed documents associated with the  
19 case, yes.

20 Q. And have you been designated to answer all  
21 the questions in our 30(b)(6) deposition  
22 today?

23 A. Yes.

24 (Whereupon Exhibit A is marked for  
25 identification.)

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

9

1 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

2 Q. I'll show you what's about to be marked by  
3 the court reporter as Exhibit A and ask you,  
4 have you seen that document before?

5 A. I have.

6 Q. Do you know when you first saw it?

7 A. Yesterday.

8 Q. That was the first time you'd seen it?

9 A. Yeah. I believe that's the first time I saw  
10 it, yes.

11 Q. All right. And was the first time that you  
12 started looking for documents to answer these  
13 questions yesterday?

14 MS. SIMONETTI: This witness wasn't  
15 involved in gathering documents. That was  
16 handled through in-house counsel.

17 A. Can you rephrase the question?

18 MR. FISHWICK: Could you play that  
19 question back.

20 THE COURT REPORTER: "And was the first  
21 time that you started looking for documents  
22 to answer these questions yesterday?"

23 MS. SIMONETTI: The witness wasn't  
24 involved in gathering documents for the case.  
25 It was sent to in-house counsel.

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

10

1 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

2 Q. You can go ahead and answer the question.

3 A. I did not gather documents.

4 Q. Was the first time that you looked at  
5 documents yesterday?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. So your -- was your entire preparation for  
8 today's deposition done yesterday?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. I draw your attention to Question 1 of the  
11 30(b)(6) notice. So we're just going to go  
12 through this in chronological order today.  
13 So we'll start at No. 1. What's your answer  
14 to the question?

15 MS. SIMONETTI: What's the question?  
16 That is completely improper, and it's  
17 actually absurd. So what's the question,  
18 John?

19 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

20 Q. Go ahead. You can answer.

21 A. I don't know what the question is.

22 Q. Okay. Do you have any -- have you studied  
23 No. 1, and do you have a response to the  
24 administration, organizational, and  
25 management structure of NPM from June 22 to

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

15

1 A. To the best of my knowledge, I believe he's  
2 part of Navient Solutions, LLC.

3 Q. That's who he works for?

4 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.

5 Q. That's his employer?

6 MS. SIMONETTI: This is irrelevant.

7 Go ahead and answer the question again.

8 A. Yeah. To the best of my knowledge, that's  
9 who he works for.

10 MR. FISHWICK: I don't think you can be  
11 in here. You're not a party to this case.

12 MR. SHELDON: I represent them.

13 MS. SIMONETTI: Yeah, he can. He's  
14 in-house counsel representing NPM.

15 MR. FISHWICK: He says, though, you work  
16 for Navient Solutions.

17 MR. SHELDON: That's who I'm employed by,  
18 but I represent this entity.

19 MR. FISHWICK: You're their corporate  
20 counsel?

21 MR. SHELDON: Yes.

22 MS. SIMONETTI: Uh-huh.

23 MR. FISHWICK: How can you be their  
24 in-house corporate counsel if you don't work  
25 for them?

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

27

1 Portfolio Management.

2 Q. Are there any policies on which ones are  
3 forwarded to Navient Portfolio Management?

4 A. If there is an actionable item required to be  
5 taken on an account that requires involvement  
6 of NPM, that would be required to be  
7 forwarded to Navient Portfolio Management.

8 Q. And is there documentation of that  
9 requirement?

10 A. I don't think there's a specific policy that  
11 says, "actionable events." We have training  
12 manuals for all our vendors that discuss what  
13 needs to be sent and what doesn't need to be  
14 sent.

15 Q. And does NPM prepare those training manuals?

16 A. NPM does produce training manuals or training  
17 documentation, yes.

18 Q. And so would those training manuals have  
19 had -- they have in there that if it's an  
20 actionable item, that it should be forwarded  
21 to NPM?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And were there training manuals in place for  
24 Mr. Henderson's account?

25 A. Yes.

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

28

1 Q. And it would have been -- and there would  
2 have been -- those manuals would have given  
3 instruction to people about how to handle his  
4 account?

5 MS. SIMONETTI: That's ambiguous.

6 Go ahead.

7 A. That's not what I'm saying, no. There are  
8 training documents that inform agencies to  
9 forward requests. For example, if a borrower  
10 requests of General Revenue that they require  
11 a statement of account, that request would  
12 come to -- from General Revenue or any agency  
13 to Navient Portfolio Management to provide  
14 statement of account on behalf of the  
15 borrower.

16 Q. And so the General Revenue employee would  
17 know from the training manual that they were  
18 supposed to forward that document to NPM?

19 A. There's no other way to fulfill the request.  
20 GRC cannot produce the statement of accounts  
21 off their system.

22 Q. So, but just in answer to my question, the  
23 GRC employee would know from the training  
24 manual that they're supposed to forward it to  
25 NPM?



**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

29

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And did that happen in this case with  
3 Mr. Henderson's case?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. So, but -- and so when the GRC employee  
6 forwarded it to NPM, they were doing that  
7 because of the training they'd received  
8 pursuant to this training manual?

9 A. I can't answer your question like that, no.

10 Q. All right. Why not?

11 A. I have no idea what the person that received  
12 the request for statement of account's  
13 intentions were. In order to fill  
14 Mr. Henderson's request for an SOA, the only  
15 way to fulfill the request would be to  
16 forward it to Navient Portfolio Management.

17 Q. But did that GRC employee -- how's the  
18 training take place? Do they -- is it a  
19 PowerPoint? Is it a document they have to  
20 read? How does that take place?

21 A. We have a documented training manual that  
22 outlines where to send those types of  
23 requests.

24 Q. And how does the -- for example, in this  
25 case, how does the GRC employee receive that

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

30

1 training?

2 A. We provide the agency the training manual. I  
3 don't know -- I can't speak to their training  
4 processes.

5 Q. So you give, in this case, GRC a training  
6 manual for them to teach their employees?

7 A. Specific to the touch points between their  
8 agency and Navient Portfolio Management, yes.

9 Q. And do you require documentation that the  
10 employees, in this case, of GRC have received  
11 the training?

12 A. No.

13 Q. How big is the manual?

14 A. That's hard to answer for this reason. We  
15 have many clients, all with different  
16 requirements. Specific to the FFELP student  
17 loans, Mr. Henderson, it's probably only  
18 about 10 pages long.

19 Q. All right. Are there any other training  
20 manuals that would be relevant to  
21 Mr. Henderson's case?

22 A. No.

23 MR. FISHWICK: Lisa, have you produced  
24 that training manual?

25 MS. SIMONETTI: No. I see no relevance

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

31

1 to it whatsoever.

2 (Whereupon Exhibit No. 2 is marked for  
3 identification.)

4 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

5 Q. I'll show you what's been marked as Exhibit 2  
6 and ask you to identify what that document  
7 is.

8 A. It appears to be a letter written by  
9 Mr. Henderson and submitted to General  
10 Revenue.

11 Q. Have you seen it before?

12 A. I can't say that I've seen this specific  
13 letter, no.

14 Q. Would NPM have received notice of this  
15 letter?

16 A. I don't know.

17 Q. Have you checked to see if NPM did receive  
18 notice of this letter?

19 A. I have not.

20 Q. Are there any policies, procedures, or  
21 training manuals of NPM that would cover this  
22 letter?

23 MS. SIMONETTI: That's vague.

24 Do you understand the question?

25 THE WITNESS: I do not.

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

34

1 A. Correct.

2 (Whereupon Exhibit No. 3 is marked for  
3 identification.)

4 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

5 Q. I show you what's been marked as Exhibit 3.

6 Have you seen this document before?

7 A. I did see this document.

8 Q. And did you see it yesterday?

9 A. That is correct.

10 Q. And what is this document?

11 A. Again, written correspondence from  
12 Mr. Henderson to General Revenue Corporation.

13 Q. And would NPM have received notice of this?

14 Or did NPM receive notice of this?

15 A. I don't know.

16 Q. As part of your preparation for today's  
17 deposition, did you research as to whether  
18 NPM received notice of Exhibit 3?

19 A. I have not.

20 Q. Are there any policies, procedures, or  
21 training manuals that would affect whether  
22 NPM received notice of Exhibit 3?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And what are those?

25 A. The same as we've discussed.

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

35

1 Q. The training manual?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. If this -- if Exhibit 3 had been forwarded to  
4 NPM, which team would have handled that?

5 A. This team it would have gone to, I call it  
6 our customer care center. We refer to inside  
7 as Post-Claims Assistance.

8 Q. Okay. I think we discussed that.

9 A. That's what I call -- like what I'll call my  
10 customer care team.

11 Q. And what is the customer care team?

12 A. Led by Jim Trout. It was discussed earlier  
13 when we went through the individual teams  
14 within Navient Portfolio Management. The  
15 customer care team receives borrower  
16 correspondence, fulfills requests for  
17 borrower correspondence. They're an inbound  
18 call center specific to for any borrower that  
19 has questions on their account, they can call  
20 Post-Claims Assistance to obtain answers on  
21 their outstanding questions.

22 Q. Did Mr. Henderson ever call in to the  
23 customer care?

24 A. Not that I'm aware of.

25 Q. Did you investigate whether he had?

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

36

1 A. I have not.

2 Q. Did you speak to anybody at the customer care  
3 team before to prepare for today's  
4 deposition?

5 A. No.

6 Q. If someone calls in to the -- if  
7 Mr. Henderson had called in to the customer  
8 care, would there be a recording of that?

9 A. Should be a record of the call, correct.

10 Q. Has anybody at NPM done a search to see if  
11 there are any calls?

12 A. Not that I'm aware of.

13 MS. SIMONETTI: In-house counsel looked  
14 for call recordings. There weren't any,  
15 John.

16 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

17 Q. And how did in-house counsel search for  
18 Mr. Henderson's calls?

19 A. I can't speak to how they searched for their  
20 calls.

21 Q. Did he consult with you when he did it?

22 A. Did he --

23 Q. Did in-house counsel consult with you when he  
24 did the search?

25 A. If memory's correct, in-house counsel sent a

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

37

1 request for documentation on the account. I  
2 can't speak to if that was part of it.

3 Q. Do you know when that was sent?

4 A. No.

5 Q. And would this have been Mr. Sheldon?

6 A. I can't recall who sent the request.

7 Q. Are there other in-house counsel?

8 A. There -- there are other in-house counsel,  
9 yes.

10 Q. And do they work for Navient Solutions as  
11 well?

12 A. I can't speak to that. I don't know.

13 Q. Well, your counsel said that in-house counsel  
14 searched for any recordings from  
15 Mr. Henderson. What's your knowledge of  
16 that?

17 A. I didn't -- I didn't -- I can't answer that  
18 question.

19 Q. All right. So you didn't do any independent  
20 investigation to prepare for today to answer  
21 that question?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Okay.

24 (Whereupon Exhibit No. 4 is marked for  
25 identification.)

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

58

1 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

2 Q. The 30(b)(6) notice.

3 A. Repeat, please.

4 Q. Turn to the top of page 3. Have you done an  
5 investigation into phone calls made by  
6 GRC/Pioneer following the February 28, 2017,  
7 as part of their collection efforts of the  
8 alleged Henderson debt?

9 A. I have not.

10 Q. For No. 4 on the notice, have you done an  
11 investigation between communications between  
12 NPM, USAF, GRC, Navient, and Pioneer  
13 regarding Mr. Henderson's alleged debt?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Have you done any investigation under No. 4  
16 concerning how the principal, interest, and  
17 fees were calculated?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Have you done any investigation into any  
20 calculation methods or explanation of  
21 calculations?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Turning to No. 5. Who was Zenith Bank?

24 A. I have no idea.

25 Q. Have you done any investigation in



**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

59

1 preparation for today to determine that?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Have you done any investigation between the  
4 relationship between NPM and Zenith Bank?

5 A. No.

6 MS. SIMONETTI: Calls for speculation.

7 Also lacks foundation.

8 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

9 Q. Have you done any investigation into the  
10 relationship between NPM and Zenith Bank as  
11 relates to the servicing and/or collection of  
12 Henderson's alleged loan or debt?

13 MS. SIMONETTI: Lacks foundation.

14 A. No.

15 Q. Turning to No. 6. Where are the documents as  
16 relates to Mr. Henderson stored?

17 A. Clarify the question.

18 Q. Have you done any investigation as to where  
19 the documents as relates to Mr. Henderson's  
20 alleged student loan debt are stored?

21 A. No, I haven't done any investigation as to  
22 where the documents specific to  
23 Mr. Henderson's case are stored.

24 Q. Do you have any general knowledge about where  
25 they would be stored?

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

60

1 A. There is an imaging system that documents,  
2 upon receipt, are stored in.

3 Q. And what is that called?

4 A. ECS.

5 Q. And what is ECS? How does it work?

6 A. I have no idea how the imaging system works  
7 other than documents are scanned and stored  
8 within the ECS system.

9 Q. All right.

10 A. And categorized with account information so  
11 we can pull specific to borrowers.

12 Q. Are there -- is there a -- in the ECS system,  
13 is there a file for Mr. Henderson?

14 A. I have not investigated if there is a file  
15 for Mr. Henderson in ECS.

16 Q. And do you know how, if there is a file,  
17 like, would it be by account number that you  
18 can search it?

19 A. You can search by account number.

20 Q. Can you search by name?

21 A. I'm not aware in the ECS system if you can  
22 search by name.

23 Q. All right. And so who has access to the ECS  
24 system?

25 A. NPM employees.

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

64

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Would there be any hard copy anywhere?
- 3 A. With -- within Navient Portfolio Management?
- 4 Q. Or from anybody.
- 5 A. I can't speak for anybody.
- 6 Q. Does -- how about within NPM?
- 7 A. It would be in the ECS system.
- 8 Q. Period?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Turning to No. 8, Question No. 8. Are you
- 11 aware of any calculations performed by NPM as
- 12 to alleged amounts of principal, interest, or
- 13 fees owed by Henderson in which NPM, GRC, and
- 14 Pioneer have attempted to collect?
- 15 A. I apologize, but I'm going to have you read
- 16 that back.
- 17 Q. Are you aware of any calculations performed
- 18 by NPM as to alleged amounts of principal,
- 19 interest, or fees owed by Henderson in which
- 20 NPM, GRC, and Pioneer have attempted to
- 21 collect?
- 22 A. I am not.
- 23 Q. Did you do any investigation into that prior
- 24 to today's deposition?
- 25 A. No.

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

65

1 Q. How long did you meet with counsel yesterday?

2 A. Two hours.

3 Q. And was that the extent of your entire  
4 preparation for today, that two-hour meeting?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. And was your meeting with counsel with both  
7 Ms. Salmonetti and Mr. Sheldon?

8 MS. SIMONETTI: Simonetti.

9 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

10 Q. Simonetti.

11 A. Yes.

12 (Whereupon Exhibit No. 7 is marked for  
13 identification.)

14 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

15 Q. I'll show you what's been marked as Exhibit  
16 7. I'll show you what's been marked as  
17 Exhibit 7 and ask you if you've seen that  
18 before.

19 A. Yeah. I saw it earlier today. I think it's  
20 this same letter.

21 Q. But other than in the deposition, you hadn't  
22 seen it before today?

23 A. I reviewed documents yesterday that I'm not  
24 sure if I saw the one dated February 28. I  
25 think I stated that earlier. So I don't

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

66

1 believe so. I don't recall seeing this  
2 specific letter.

3 Q. Now, would this be what you testified to  
4 earlier, that the training manual would cover  
5 the NPM response to this?

6 A. The NPM training document would inform the  
7 agency upon such a request to send it to  
8 Navient Portfolio Management to fulfill.

9 Q. And what does the training say about, like,  
10 how promptly that should happen?

11 A. I don't believe the training manual speaks to  
12 the promptness.

13 Q. Okay. Again, you don't know when NPM got  
14 this?

15 A. No.

16 Q. And does NPM actually get the letter? Does  
17 the policy provide that it gets the actual  
18 letter from the person who owes the money?

19 A. I don't know if the policy states that we  
20 need to obtain the actual letter.

21 Q. All right. Do you know if you got this  
22 actual letter in the NPM system?

23 A. I do not.

24 Q. Are there -- other than the training manual,  
25 are there any other policies or procedures of

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

68

1 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

2 Q. I show you what's been marked as Exhibit 8.

3 Is this the one that you think you've seen  
4 before?

5 A. I know I saw it earlier today, again, so.

6 Q. And the same answer? The training manual  
7 would have covered it for the agency as to  
8 for them to notify NPM that they needed to --  
9 that they needed to respond to this?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. And the response was the -- we've seen  
12 earlier in the deposition, that's what was  
13 triggered as the response?

14 A. I -- can you --

15 Q. Let me just get the exhibit number.

16 And I show you what was previously marked  
17 as Exhibit 4. Would that have been the  
18 response?

19 A. Had NPM received a request to produce a  
20 statement of account, that would have been  
21 the response, correct. And I assume that  
22 that is the response based upon the  
23 production of said document.

24 Q. But you haven't gone to check to see if they  
25 did receive this request within the software?

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

69

1 A. Correct. I have not.

2 Q. Okay. Turn to No. 10. What is the process  
3 in place when a borrower or debtor asks for a  
4 verification?

5 MS. SIMONETTI: Can you read that back.

6 Is that question directed to NPM or --

7 MR. FISHWICK: Yes. It's No. 10.

8 MS. SIMONETTI: Are you asking about  
9 NPM's process?

10 Can you read that back.

11 THE COURT REPORTER: "What is the process  
12 in place when a borrower or debtor asks for a  
13 verification?"

14 MS. SIMONETTI: I think that's vague.

15 But go ahead.

16 A. A borrower requesting validation of debt  
17 will -- the SOA will be produced and sent.

18 Q. And that's -- and so that comes in through  
19 Eagle, and then the notation is made by an  
20 NPM employee when the -- when it issues its  
21 statement?

22 A. The question you ask is confusing. The  
23 agencies, when they receive a request for  
24 debt validation, they send a request for an  
25 SOA to Navient Portfolio Management. Navient

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

70

1 Portfolio Management fulfills the request of  
2 the SOA.

3 Q. And the data for that SOA comes from Eagle?

4 A. That is correct.

5 Q. It's generated by the Eagle software program?

6 A. It's generated -- yes.

7 (Whereupon Exhibit No. 9 is marked for  
8 identification.)

9 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

10 Q. I show you what's been marked as Exhibit 9.

11 Have you seen this document before?

12 A. I have.

13 Q. And what is that document?

14 A. It's an agreement for collection services of  
15 defaulted student loans owned by United  
16 Student Aid Funds between Navient Portfolio  
17 Management, LLC and Pioneer Credit Recovery,  
18 Inc.

19 Q. In the second paragraph on the first page of  
20 that agreement, it says that Navient, the  
21 first line there, "desires to utilize  
22 contractor debt collection services." What  
23 are those?

24 A. I don't know how other to say it other than,  
25 you know, we contract with collection



**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

72

1 The document we talked about earlier, and it  
2 also talks about a Compliance/Portfolio  
3 Management Audit Guide, which is really one  
4 document in and of itself.

5 Q. So what is the Navient Portfolio Management  
6 Compliance Guide?

7 A. It's a document that ensures -- well, informs  
8 agencies -- informs agencies how -- it's  
9 really just a document to ensure agencies are  
10 maintaining compliance with state and federal  
11 regulations.

12 Q. All right.

13 MR. FISHWICK: And has that been produced  
14 in this case?

15 MS. SIMONETTI: I don't believe so. It's  
16 not a document that belongs to NPM, the  
17 company NPM, so I don't believe so.

18 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

19 Q. Who does that document belong to? It's  
20 called the Navient Portfolio Management  
21 Compliance Guide.

22 A. The compliance guide is owned by a compliance  
23 arm of Navient Solutions, LLC.

24 Q. And who is that? Is it Navient Solutions  
25 that owns this or is it --

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

73

1 A. They are the ones that are responsible for  
2 producing the compliance guide, correct.

3 Q. And what do you mean, responsible for  
4 producing?

5 A. Drafting, updating, revising the compliance  
6 guide.

7 Q. Does Navient Portfolio Management Compliance  
8 Guide, does NPM have a copy of that?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. You have it within your company's records?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And where is it?

13 A. On a shared drive.

14 Q. And is that within one of the software  
15 programs or just a shared drive for  
16 employees?

17 A. Just a shared drive for employees.

18 Q. All right. And so it's a -- it's something  
19 for your employees to follow?

20 A. It more so provides guidance, guidelines,  
21 guidelines to the agencies to follow.

22 Q. I see. So it tells your employees what to  
23 tell the agencies to do?

24 A. Repeat your question, please.

25 MR. FISHWICK: Go ahead.

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

76

1 Mr. Henderson?

2 A. Can you please -- can you either read it back  
3 or repeat your question?

4 THE COURT REPORTER: "Is there anything  
5 in this Navient Portfolio Management  
6 Compliance Guide that would have affected how  
7 the agencies interacted with Mr. Henderson?"

8 A. Yeah.

9 Q. What are those?

10 A. I can't -- I don't know the audit guide  
11 compliance guide by heart. Like I just told  
12 you, if the federal regs allow a borrower to  
13 be contacted five times a day, if the Navient  
14 compliance guide says we can only contact you  
15 three times a day, that would have affected  
16 the way the agency communicated with the  
17 borrower.

18 Q. But you didn't look at those documents in  
19 preparation for today's --

20 A. I did not.

21 Q. -- deposition? Okay. But it is one  
22 document?

23 A. It is one document.

24 Q. Turn to page 7, please.

25 A. All right.

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

84

1 don't understand the question.

2 Q. In preparation for today, did you do an  
3 investigation into the question that's  
4 outlined in No. 14?

5 A. I don't really see a question in No. 14.

6 Q. Okay. Does NPM contend that the collection  
7 efforts as relates to Mr. Henderson did not  
8 follow its usual practice?

9 THE WITNESS: Can you please -- can you  
10 repeat that one back?

11 THE COURT REPORTER: "Does NPM contend  
12 that the collection efforts as relates to  
13 Mr. Henderson did not follow its usual  
14 practice?"

15 A. I'm not contending -- NPM is not contending.

16 Q. NPM is not, you said? Is NPM saying it  
17 followed its usual practice with  
18 Mr. Henderson?

19 A. As far as I'm aware, NPM followed its normal  
20 practice, yes.

21 Q. Did you do an investigation of that --

22 A. No.

23 Q. -- in preparation for today's deposition?

24 A. No.

25 Q. How does -- turn to No. 15. How does -- when

Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019  
Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.

88

1 refunds?

2 MS. SIMONETTI: Lacks foundation.

3 Go ahead and answer it if you can.

4 A. Navient Portfolio Management's role with  
5 regards to borrower's tax refunds is limited.  
6 The federal regulations dictate what taxes  
7 can be offset, and the government Department  
8 of Education sends a file to the guarantor,  
9 in this case Great Lakes, that says a  
10 borrower's account has been certified or  
11 decertified for federal or state offset.

12 When the guarantor informs us that an  
13 account has been certified, that feeds to our  
14 system. It triggers that the account has  
15 been certified for offset per the government,  
16 and if indeed the borrower files taxes and  
17 doesn't take the appropriate steps to become  
18 decertified, their taxes will be intercepted  
19 and sent to the guarantor and subsequently  
20 then sent to us to update the system, and us,  
21 we'll send it then to the agency to let them  
22 know that the taxes were offset.

23 Q. And so, in Mr. Henderson's case, did the  
24 guarantor notify NPM?

25 A. I didn't look into whether the account was

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

89

1 ever certified.

2 Q. So, in preparation for today, you did not  
3 look into whether Mr. Henderson's account was  
4 certified for an administrative set-off for  
5 taxes?

6 A. I did not.

7 Q. If that had been done, what part of the  
8 software programs are involved in that?

9 A. The guarantor would be notified first. They  
10 would send a feed, a status update. I don't  
11 know the exact status. But that status would  
12 then indicate on Eagle that the account has  
13 been certified. And if a payment came in, it  
14 would be marked as IRS as to an IRS payment.

15 Q. All right.

16 A. Which is directly from the government as  
17 well.

18 Q. All right. And so that documentation, if  
19 existed for Mr. Henderson, would be in Eagle?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. But, again, you didn't look at that in  
22 preparation for today?

23 A. No, sir.

24 Q. Why was Mr. Henderson's account removed from  
25 GRC and placed with Pioneer?

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

90

1 A. I didn't investigate as to why the account  
2 was recalled and placed to Pioneer, so my  
3 response would be purely speculative.

4 Q. Okay. And if you had done that work before  
5 this deposition, where would you have gone?

6 A. On the Eagle system.

7 Q. Since June 22 of 2016, who were the  
8 subordinate servicers NPM has contracted  
9 with?

10 A. Like, I couldn't name them off the top of my  
11 head.

12 Q. So, again, in preparation for today, you did  
13 not do any investigation into Question No. 18  
14 on the deposition notice?

15 A. No, I did not.

16 Q. Besides Eagle, what other shared  
17 software/information systems exist between  
18 NPM and its agencies?

19 A. Specific to the FFELP business, the federally  
20 funded student loans?

21 Q. Yeah.

22 A. Eagle is the only system that we use. I  
23 mean, we talked about storage of  
24 documentations earlier that's on an ECS, but  
25 that's not with third-party vendors. So the

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

96

1 and the board.

2 Q. And who's on the board?

3 A. I have no idea.

4 Q. Did you investigate that in preparation for  
5 today's deposition?

6 A. I did not.

7 Q. Besides Mr. Remondi as president, did you  
8 investigate who the officers were of -- other  
9 officers were of Navient Corp.?

10 A. No.

11 Q. And did you investigate who any of the board  
12 of directors were of November Corp.?

13 A. No.

14 Q. And did you do that investigation from -- for  
15 June of 2016 to the present?

16 A. I did no investigation.

17 Q. All right. What is the state of  
18 incorporation of NPM?

19 A. Can you repeat that, please.

20 Q. Yeah. What state is NPM incorporated in?

21 MS. SIMONETTI: It's an LLC. We talked  
22 about this earlier.

23 A. Yeah.

24 Q. All right. What state is it incorporated in  
25 or what state is it -- is it registered in?



**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

97

1 MS. SIMONETTI: For what purpose? That's  
2 vague.

3 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

4 Q. What state is it incorporated in?

5 A. Honestly, I don't know.

6 Q. Did you do any investigation into that in  
7 preparation for today?

8 A. I did not.

9 Q. What state is Navient Corporation  
10 incorporated in?

11 A. I didn't investigate that either.

12 Q. All right. How, then, is a -- how is an LLC  
13 a subsidiary of -- how is Navient, NPM, a  
14 subsidiary of Navient Corp.?

15 A. I would say it's an affiliate of Navient  
16 Corp.

17 Q. And what does that mean?

18 A. It's one of the companies underneath the  
19 Navient Corp. umbrella. There are -- Navient  
20 Solutions, LLC is the servicing arm  
21 underneath Navient Corp. Portfolio  
22 Management, LLC is an affiliate underneath  
23 the umbrella of Navient Corp., the holding  
24 company.

25 Q. What are other affiliates?

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

101

1 mean?

2 A. Yeah. When borrowers need assistance with  
3 their account, pre-default, delinquency, if  
4 they need a forbearance, a deferment, there's  
5 call centers available. There's help  
6 available. That's what those people do.  
7 They provide direction to these borrowers to  
8 keep them in repayment, answer their  
9 questions, and service their loans, like  
10 anybody that has a mortgage or a car loan it  
11 has questions on to try to prevent them from  
12 defaulting and keeping them in repayment.

13 Q. Take a look at Question 21 in the Notice of  
14 Deposition.

15 A. All right.

16 Q. In preparation for today, did you identify  
17 what accounts those were?

18 A. No.

19 Q. So you don't know what those accounts are?

20 A. I do not.

21 Q. So you can't answer the question for 21  
22 because you don't even know which accounts  
23 those are?

24 A. That is correct.

25 MS. SIMONETTI: Lacks foundation, too.

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

102

1 MR. FISHWICK: Pardon?

2 MS. SIMONETTI: Lacks foundation.

3 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

4 Q. And since you don't know what accounts these  
5 are, you cannot provide an answer today of  
6 who assigned or created these accounts?

7 MS. SIMONETTI: Lacks foundation.

8 Go ahead.

9 A. I cannot, no.

10 (Whereupon Exhibit No. 12 is marked for  
11 identification.)

12 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

13 Q. I show you what's been marked as Exhibit 12,  
14 and I'll ask you if you've seen that before.

15 A. Again, I think this is the same document we  
16 may have reviewed earlier today. I may have  
17 seen it yesterday, but I don't know if I --  
18 you know, that's where I'm at. I've seen a  
19 lot of documents today.

20 Q. Did other residents of Virginia receive this  
21 same document?

22 MS. SIMONETTI: You mean the same form of  
23 document?

24 MR. FISHWICK: Yes. I agree with that  
25 objection.

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

128

1 to it since this was sent to Mr. Henderson?

2 A. There's been changes to this letter since  
3 November 25 of '16, that is correct.

4 Q. All right. In preparation for today, have  
5 you identified the identities and numbers of  
6 individuals in Virginia who received Exhibit  
7 14 from June 22, 2016, to the present?

8 A. There was a request that, I believe, came  
9 from Matt to identify that population. I  
10 engaged our IT department to, lack of a  
11 better, I guess, scan the Eagle system for  
12 letters that were sent to borrowers in the  
13 State of Virginia.

14 Q. And do you have the names of those people?

15 A. The names could be produced. I know there  
16 was a count produced, but I'm sure the names  
17 could be attached to those, yes.

18 Q. And do you have those names today? Have you  
19 brought those names with you today?

20 A. I have not brought those names with me today.

21 Q. Did you do any research on those names prior  
22 to today?

23 A. I did not.

24 Q. Have you reviewed any of the interrogatory  
25 answers in this case that identify the

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

156

1 three different numbers on there.

2 Q. All right. And then the entry below that, it  
3 says, "12/27/16 invoice." What does that  
4 mean?

5 A. "12/27/16 DZ-DE invoice." I don't know what  
6 that code means. I don't.

7 Q. All right. You didn't look at that ahead of  
8 time?

9 A. I did not look at that ahead of time, and,  
10 quite frankly, I look at very few transaction  
11 level detail histories.

12 Q. Okay. And you didn't look at that in  
13 preparation for today?

14 A. No, I did not.

15 (Whereupon Exhibit No. 19 is marked for  
16 identification.)

17 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

18 Q. I show you what's been marked as Exhibit 19  
19 and ask you to identify that.

20 A. These are what appear to be collection notes  
21 from General Revenue Corporation.

22 Q. And do you know how they came into NPM's  
23 possession?

24 A. They would have had to have been requested  
25 from NPM.

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

158

1 identification.)

2 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

3 Q. I show you what's been marked as Exhibit 21.

4 What is that document?

5 A. They appear to be collection notes from one  
6 of our vendors, but it doesn't specify the  
7 vendor or collection agency.

8 Q. So you don't know who gave this to you?

9 A. No, I do not. I assume that it was either  
10 General Revenue or Pioneer, and being that  
11 we've already looked at the collection notes  
12 from General Revenue, I assume this is the  
13 collection notes from Pioneer Credit  
14 Recovery.

15 Q. But you didn't investigate ahead of time to  
16 confirm that before this deposition?

17 A. No. There's two agencies that we're talking  
18 about, so I assume it's Pioneer, but I did  
19 not confirm it, no.

20 (Whereupon Exhibit No. 22 is marked for  
21 identification.)

22 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

23 Q. I show you what's been marked as Exhibit 22  
24 and ask you to identify that.

25 A. It appears it's a Navient policy for

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

160

1 policies. It could be bonus plans and  
2 anything related to the policies with running  
3 the business.

4 Q. Is there an index of what all those policies  
5 are?

6 A. I'm sure there is.

7 (Whereupon Exhibit No. 23 is marked for  
8 identification.)

9 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. FISHWICK:

10 Q. I show you what's been marked as Exhibit 23  
11 and ask you to identify that.

12 A. It's another policy, and this policy specific  
13 is the standard operating procedure related  
14 to payment processing.

15 Q. And is that how you described earlier when  
16 money comes in? What is this in reference  
17 to?

18 A. In no way, shape, or form is this policy  
19 related to Navient Portfolio Management.  
20 This is more related to what Navient  
21 Solutions, LLC does in relation to servicing  
22 borrowers pre-default.

23 Q. Okay. And that's what that policy is?

24 A. That, to the best of my knowledge, that's  
25 what that policy would be for. It has

**Mark Verbrugge - 30(b)(6) - July 19, 2019**  
**Henderson v. General Revenue Corporation, et al.**

161

1 nothing to do with NPM.

2 Q. Okay. But did you look at that ahead of  
3 time?

4 A. No. No reason to.

5 MR. FISHWICK: That's all the questions I  
6 have.

7 THE WITNESS: All right.

8  
9 (The proceedings are concluded at  
10 2:43 p.m.)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25